

Analyzing Awareness, Decision, and Outcome Sequences of Project Design Groups

A Platform for Instrumentation of Workshop-based Experiments

Bryan R. Moser (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

Carl O. R. Frühling (Technical University of Munich)

Dec 18th, 2018







Research domain and method

- Data analysis:
 - Predictor and outcome sequences
 - Clustering and similarities
- Predictability and findings
- Summary and outlook

Project planner should be aware of activity dependencies to account for coordination efforts Research domain





We conduct workshop-based experiments to collect real-time awareness and performance data Research method (1/2)



Features are selected from collected data for sequence and clustering analysis

Research method (2/2) Illustrative 1. Conducting Experiment Feedback Attention Performance & Learnings Data Data **Change Focus** Change Rate 2. Compiling Data Performance Change Consistency **Return Time Distribution** 3.2 Process Changes 3.1 Computing Distances **Proximity Walk** Change Change Attention Performance Element Focus Distribution Distances Velocity Distances Main Class Class 3.2 Classify Groups Consistency 4. Building Clusters Approach Class 5. Comparing Trees Approach Distribution Attention Performance Tree Tree Insights & 6. Identifying Patterns Experiences Selected features

CSD&M Paris | Dec 18th, 2018



- Research domain and method
- Data analysis:
 - Predictor and outcome sequences
 - Clustering and similarities
- Predictability and findings
- Summary and outlook



The "design walk" shows subject performance during experiment

Outcome analysis



The "fingerprints" show subject's attention allocation sequences

Predictor analysis



CSD&M Paris | Dec 18th, 2018

Bioinformatics use sequence similarity analyses to detect genetic relations

Sequence analysis in bioinformatics

1.) Genetic codes in DNA sequences

The	050007 1	1.4		4
and the second sec	HOHOLO,I	14	SINLWEPSULIKULLVERMHMMLSIFSIFIKKIUSLSNEEHKEMHKQLEEVHUSIHMQHIENEFDUDUGSHVQLIHKEUSKLILEVLK IVI	T
the yet	B4F917.1	13	SIKLWPPSESTRIMLVDRMTNNLSTESIFSRKYRLLGKQEAHENAKTIEELCFALADEHFREEPDGDGSSAVQLYAKETSKMMLEVLK 100	0
200 29	A9S1V2,1	23	VFKLWPPSQGTREAVRQKMALKLSS., ACFESQS., FARIELADAQEHARAIEEVAFGAAQEADSGGDKTGSAVVMVYAKHASKLMLETLR 109	9
	B9GSN7.1	13	SVKLWPPGQSTRLMLVERMTKNFITPSFISRKYGLLSKEEAEEDAKKIEEVAFAAAANQHYEKQPDGDGSSAVQIYAKESSRLMLEVLK 100	0
	Q8H056.1	30	SFSIWPPTQRTRDAVVRRLVDTLGGDTILCKRYGAVPAADAEPAARGIEAEAFDAAAASGEAAATASVEEGIKALQLYSKEVSRRLLDFVK 120	0
	QOD4Z3.2	44	SLSIWPPSQRTRDAVVRRLVQTLVAPSILSQRYGAVPEAEAGRAAAAVEAEAYAAVTES.SSAAAAPASVEDGIEVLQAYSKEVSRRLLELAK 13	5
XXX-IX	B9MVW8.1	56	SFSIWPPTQRTRDAIISRLIETLSTTSVLSKRYGTIPKEEASEASRRIEEEAFSGASTVASSEKDGLEVLQLYSKEISKRMLETVK 141	1
	QOIYC5.1	29	SFAVWPPTRRTRDAVVRRLVAVLSGDTTTALRKRYRYGAVPAADAERAARAVEAQAFDAASASSSSSSSVEDGIETLQLYSREVSNRLLAFVR 12	1
	A9NW46.1	13	SIKLWPPSESTRLMLVERMTDNLSSVSFFSRKYGLLSKEEAAENAKRIEETAFLAANDHEAKEPNLDDSSVVQFYAREASKLMLEALK 100	0
	Q9C500.1	57	SLRIWPPTQKTRDAVLNRLIETLSTESILSKRYGTLKSDDATTVAKLIEEEAYGVASNAVSSDDDGIKILELYSKEISKRMLESVK 14/	2
3344	Q2HRI7.1	25	NYSIWPPKQRTRDAVKNRLIETLSTPSVLTKRYGTMSADEASAAAIQIEDEAFSVANASSSTSNDNVTILEVYSKEISKRMIETVK 110	0
	Q9M7N3.1	28	SFKIWPPTQRTREAVVRRLVETLTSQSVLSKRYGVIPEEDATSAARIIEEEAFSVASV.ASAASTGGRPEDEWIEVLHIYSQEIXQRVVESAK 119	9
10-20	Q9M7N6.1	25	SFSIWPPTQRTRDAVINRLIESLSTPSILSKRYGTLPQDEASETARLIEEEAFAAAGSTASDADDGIEILQVYSKEISKRMIDTVK 110	0
+2	Q9LE82.1	14	SVKMWPPSKSTRLMLVERMTKNITTPSIFSRKYGLLSVEEAEQDAKRIEDLAFATANKHFQNEPDGDGTSAVHVYAKESSKLMLDVIK 101	1
	Q9M651.2	13	SIKLWPPSLPTRKALIERITNNFSSKTIFTEKYGSLTKDQATENAKRIEDIAFSTANQQFEREPDGDGDGSAVQLYAKECSKLILEVLK 100	0
1	B9R748.1	48	SLSIWPPTØRTRDAVITRLIETLSS., PSVLSKR., YGTISHDEAESAARRIEDEAFGVANTATSAEDDGLEILØLYSKEISRRMLDTVK 133	3

2.) Distance Matrix

$$D_{ij} = \sqrt{\sum (\mu_{ir} - \mu_{jr})^2 + \sum (\sigma_{ir} - \sigma_{jr})^2}$$

1037.067	Group 01	Group 02	Group 03	Group 04	Group 05	Group 86	Group 07	Group 88	Group 09	Group 10	Group 11	Group 12	Group 13
Group (11	0.000	0.047	0.413	0.046	0.075	0.054	0.057	0.053	0.074	0.062	0.056	0.052	0.189
Group 02	0.047	0.000	0.377	0.070	0.052	0.081	0.047	0.080	0.092	0.093	0.085	0.084	0.155
Group 03	0.413	0.377	0.000	0.436	0.353	0.443	0.382	0.441	0.438	0.455	0.446	0.446	0.233
Group 04	0.046	0.070	0.436	0.000	0.085	0.016	0.058	0.015	0.057	0.025	0.018	0.019	0.217
Group 05	0.075	0.052	0.353	0.085	0.000	0.090	0.033	0.089	0.103	0.103	0.094	0.094	0.144
Group Dia	0.054	0.081	0.443	0.016	0.090	0.000	0.064	0.010	0.061	0.013	0.006	0.007	0.226
Group 07	0.057	0.047	0.382	0.058	0.033	0.064	0.000	0.061	0.085	0.077	0.068	0.068	0.169
Group 08	0.053	0.080	0.441	0.015	0.089	0.010	0.061	0.000	0.059	0.019	0.013	0.012	0.224
Group 09	0.074	0.092	0.438	0.057	0.103	0.061	0.085	0.059	0.000	0.063	0.059	0.060	0.223
Group 10	0.062	0.093	0.455	0.025	0.103	0.013	0.077	0.019	0.063	0.000	0.010	0.011	0.238
Group 11	0.056	0.085	0.446	0.018	0.094	0.006	0.068	0.013	0.059	0.010	0.000	0.006	0.229
Group 12	0.052	0.084	0.446	0.019	0.094	0.007	0.068	0.012	0.060	0.011	0.006	0.000	0.228
Group 13	0.189	0.155	0.233	0.217	0.144	0.226	0.169	0.224	0.223	0.238	0.229	0.228	0.000

3.) Hierarchical Clustering



We adopted this method to analyze our sequential experiment data



The Return Time Distribution in a mouse click sequence represents subject's attention allocation Feature calculation

Example Sequence with alphabet size $4 \rightarrow R = \{A, B, C, D\}$ С С С Α B Α Α R D Α D Α D В B Α Α Α D Α 3 3 2 0 Return Time (A) Frequency $\mu_r = \frac{\sum(Return Time \times Frequency)}{\sum Frequency}$ 0 2 $\mu_A = \frac{0 \times 2 + 1 \times 3 + 2 \times 1 + 3 \times 2}{2 + 3 + 1 + 2} = 1.57$ 1 3 2 1 $\sigma_r = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (Frequency - \mu_i)^2}{\sum Frequency}}$ 3 2 Distance between two sequences (i, j) $D_{ij} = \sqrt{\sum (\mu_{ir} - \mu_{jr})^2 + \sum (\sigma_{ir} - \sigma_{jr})^2} \qquad \sigma_A = \sqrt{\frac{(2 - 1.57)^2 + (3 - 1.57)^2 + (1 - 1.57)^2 + (2 - 1.57)^2}{2 + 3 + 1 + 2}} = 0.59$

Return Time Distribution is an *alignment-free* sequence analysis method – the order of elements is not respected in comparison of two sequences

CSD&M Paris | Dec 18th, 2018

Calculated feature distances are hierarchically clustered with "neighbor-joining" method Hierarchical clustering – predictor



n = 98 sequences

Group 01 Group 02 Group 03 Group 04 Group 05 Group 06 Group 07 Group 08 Group 09 Group 10 Group 11 Group 12 Group 13

Clustering needs to be compared to find predictor and outcome correlation Example

Hierarchical clustering – outcome

Sequence-based Performance Impact (PI)



n = 98 sequences

Group 01 Group 02 Group 03 Group 04 Group 05 Group 06 Group 07 Group 08 Group 09 Group 10 Group 11 Group 12 Group 13



- Research domain and method
- Data analysis:
 - Predictor and outcome sequences
 - Clustering and similarities
- Predictability and findings
- Summary and outlook

Predictability is represented by similarity between predictor and outcome clustering

Fowlkes-Mallows-Index (FMI)



FMI for randomized clusterings (baseline)

Explanation

- FMI is a **matching index** that determines the **similarity** of two hierarchical clusterings
 - **FMI = 0:** no similarity at all
 - **FMI = 1:** identical clusterings
- FMI is calculated over matching matrix (M = [m_{ij}]) holding number of common items between ith and jth cluster of the two clustering
- Rows and columns of M are summed up for all possible numbers of clusters (k)

$$m_i = \sum_{j=1}^k m_{ij}$$
; $m_j = \sum_{i=1}^k m_{ij}$

• Matching index B_k is calculated

$$B_{k} = \frac{T_{k}}{\sqrt{P_{k} \times Q_{k}}}$$
$$T_{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} m_{ij}^{2} - n$$
$$P_{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}^{2} - n$$
$$Q_{k} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} m_{j}^{2} - n$$

A good performance predictor is larger than similarity index baseline

Clustering similarity analysis





Sequence analysis shows that project planners should focus on activity dependencies Findings

	Tested hypotheses	Validation
	High performing Project Design groups allocate their attention different from low performing Project Design groups.	Valid
	High performing Project Design groups allocate their attention more to activities and dependencies than low performing Project Design groups.	Valid
	High performing Project Design groups focus on the project architecture before making changes on the project model.	Not valid
\bigstar	Project Design groups become aware of activity dependencies through laying out the project architecture themselves.	Not valid
D	High performing Project Design groups follow similar action patterns which low performing Project Design groups do not follow.	Not tested



- Research domain and method
- Data analysis:
 - Predictor and outcome sequences
 - Clustering and similarities
- Predictability and findings
- Summary and outlook



Our research approach is continuously enhanced for global scalability

Key takeaways

- Activity dependencies have big impact in complex global projects
- Project designer benefit from visualization of dependencies in project planning software
- Awareness for activity dependencies increases design performance
- Research platform sensors in workshop-based experiments allow collection of data for attention allocation, decision-making and design performance
- Sequence analysis is an appropriate method to analyze behavioral patterns
- Selection and clustering of the right data features leads to insights about successful design patterns

Outlook

- Further attention allocation features could be considered for clustering analysis
- **Decision-making data** was collected but not entirely analyzed, yet
- Research platform allows to **add further sensors** and **scalability of experiments**



Key references

- B. R. Moser, W. Grossmann, and P. Starke, "Mechanism of Dependence in Engineering Projects as Sociotechnical Systems," presented at the 22nd ISPE Concurrent Engineering Conference (CE2015), 2015.
- **B. R. Moser and R. T. Wood**, "Design of Complex Programs as Sociotechnical Systems," in *Concurrent Engineering in the 21st Century*, J. Stjepandić, Ed., ed Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, 2015, pp. 197-220.
- D. H. Rumsfeld, "DoD News Briefing," ed. Washington, D.C.: Federal News Service Inc., 2002.
- J. Luft and H. Ingham, "The Johari Window: a graphic model of awareness in interpersonal relations," *Human relations training news*, vol. 5, pp. 6-7, 1961.
- F. Marle and L.-A. Vidal, Managing Complex, High Risk Projects A Guide to Basic and Advanced Project Management. London: Springer-Verlag, 2016.
- M. R. Endsley, "Toward a Theory of Situational Awareness in Dynamic Systems," *Human Factors,* vol. 37, pp. 32-64, 1995.
- **D. Kahneman**, *Thinking, Fast and Slow*, 1st ed. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2011.
- N. Chucholowski, P. Starke, B. R. Moser, E. Rebentisch, and U. Lindemann, "Characterizing and Measuring Activity Dependence in Engineering Projects," presented at the Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering & Technology 2016, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA, 2016.
- P. Kolekar, M. Kale, and U. Kulkarni-Kale, "Alignment-free distance measure based on return time distribution for sequence analysis: Applications to clustering, molecular phylogeny and subtyping," *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, vol. 65, pp. 510-522, 2012.
- **N. Saitou and M. Nei**, "The Neighbor-joining Method: A New Method for Reconstructing Phylogenetic Trees," *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, vol. 4, pp. 406-425, 1987.
- E. B. Fowlkes and C. L. Mallows, "A Method for Comparing Two Hierarchical Clusterings," *Journal of the American Statistical Association,* vol. 78, pp. 553-569, 1983.



Contact

Bryan R. Moser Massachusetts Institute of Technology Email: bry@mit.edu

Carl O. R. Fruehling Technical University of Munich Email: cfruehling@mytum.de

